

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/614,296	MOTOYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shean C. Wu	1756	

All Participants:

(1) Shean C. Wu.

(2) Mr. Jacob.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 December 2005

Time: 2:20 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
10 and 18


Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The formula (I) in claim 10 has been corrected as formula (I) submitted in the amendment (11/14/05). Also, the dependency of claim in Claim 18 has been changed to 17.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)